# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,779	03/23/2004	John Gerard Speare	223566	2381	
38887	7590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.			ZHEN, WEI Y		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		2122		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					<i>f</i>   /
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	IAM
		10/80	6,779	SPEARE ET AL.	Nx)
	Office Action Summary	Exami	iner	Art Unit	
		Wei Y		2122	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addr	ess
THE N - Extense after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty ( period for reply is specified above, the maximum se e to reply within the set or extended period for repl sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nomunication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply ary will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of th nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					\ :
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 23 March 20	04.		;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2b) This action			/
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract				nerits is
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from			
Application	on Papers				
10)⊠ 7	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 23 March 20 Applicant may not request that any objected the cather of the cather drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the cather of the cather or declaration is objected the cather of the cather or declaration is objected the cather of the cather or declaration is objected to be cathered to be cathe	004 is/are: a)⊠ accection to the drawing( g the correction is red	s) be held in abeya quired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have to documents have to of the priority docu nal Bureau (PCT l	peen received. peen received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892)  of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the application filed on 3/23/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donohue, U.S. Patent No. 6,202,207.

As per claim 1, Donohue discloses

accepting the piece of data (col. 4 lines 50-54);

determining whether the piece of data is subject to the persisted policy scheme (col. 5 lines 36-52);

replacing a portion of the piece of data with an indicating piece of data (col. 5 lines 53-62).

As per claim 3, Donohue discloses determining and replacing are performed on a scheduled interval (col. 4 lines 40-44 and col. 6 lines 50-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/806,779

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 4, Donohue discloses determining and replacing are performed on an ad-hoc basis (col. 4 lines 40-44 and col. 6 lines 50-59).

Claim 5 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 6 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 8 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 9 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 10 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Claim 11 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 13 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 14 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 15 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11.

Claim 16 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 17 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 18 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 19 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 16.

Claim 20 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Claim 21 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 22 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 23 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Claim 24 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11.

Claim 25 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 26 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim 27 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 7, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue, U.S. Patent No. 6,202,207.

As per claim 2, Donohue does not explicitly disclose the piece of data is an electronic mail. Official Notice is taken that electronic mail was well known in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the well known knowledge into the teaching of Donohue to have the piece of data be an electronic mail because one would want to replace/update various types of software/data automatically and efficiently as taught by Donohue.

Claim 7 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

Claim 12 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wei Y Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/806,779

Art Unit: 2122

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wei Zhen Primary Examiner 8/31/2004

WEI Y. ZHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINE

My. 2

Page 5